

REMARKS

The following comments are responsive to the Final Office Action mailed November 3, 2009 ("Action"). Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are requested based on the above amendments and the below remarks.

Telephonic Interview

Preliminarily, Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to their representatives during the telephonic interview on January 7, 2010. The following remarks include Applicants' substance of interview pursuant to MPEP § 713.04.

In preparation for the interview, Examiner Dao graciously provided the following note, identifying a proposed amendment that would appear to place the case in condition for allowance:



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 400
Alexandria, VA 22314-0400
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 09-Jan-2010

To: Mr. Subramanian (Reg. No. 551852)

From: Tracy Dao

Applicant/Contact Name(s): 12755-401

Art Unit: 2102

Fax No:

Phone No: 571-670-0810

Voice No.: 571-670-0000

Business Fax No.: 571-375-1970

Re:

OO:

☐ Urgent☐ For Review☐ For Comment☐ For Reply☐ For Your Request

Comments:

Number of pages, including this page:

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This document is submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) as a confidential communication. It is intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If the document is misdirected to you, you are requested to immediately notify the sender on the above-reproduced telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 400
Alexandria, VA 22314-0400

Application/Control Number: 10/789,401
 Art Unit: 2192

Page 2

Preparation for the telephonic interview on January 7, 2010.

Mr. Sushkuma

From the Interview Agenda faxed on January 6, 2010, claim 34 and FIG. 4, block 445H, examiner respectfully proposes claim amendments to put the case in condition for allowance as follows:

1. A method for processing a p-code file, comprising:
 analyzing p-code methods within said p-code file by a computer to determine a resource utilization for the p-code methods;
 identifying one or more analyzing p-code methods that have a resource utilization parameter above a threshold level;
 annotating said identified p-code methods to be compiled, said annotating comprising inserting an in-line priority level hint for each annotated p-code method, said priority level hints being hierarchically-related and collectively representing a hierarchical order, said priority level hints enabling preferential processing of said annotated p-code methods in a hierarchical manner corresponding to said hierarchical order of said priority level hints, wherein said annotating comprises selectively setting each of a plurality of normally unused bits within a method access flag field of an identified class file, wherein said unused bits are selectively set to define therein said priority level hint of a respective annotated p-code method;
 refactoring one or more lines of instructions in the p-code file with compiled code for the [identified]] annotated p-code methods; [and]]
 communicating the p-code file including compiled code for the annotated p-code methods via a network to a target environment for execution of the compiled code for the annotated p-code methods and interpretation of uncompiled instructions in the communicated p-code file; and
managing storage of the annotated p-code methods in a cache memory according to usage statistics, code size, and frequency of execution of the annotated p-code methods.

Please wait for a final prior art search and decision/appraisal from a patent primary examiner.

Thanks,
 Teeee Dao AU2192

During the interview, Applicants' representative discussed the examiner's proposal. Applicants agreed to consider amending the claims as suggested and, by this amendment, have adopted the proposed claim language to expedite prosecution. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the present paper places this case in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

The Action objects to claims 34 and 43 for minor informalities. These claims have been amended as suggested in the Action. Applicants respectfully request that the claim objections be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 10-13, 35, 37-41, and 44-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Hölzle (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,548) in view of Beadle (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,075).

Claims 34, 43, and 48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hölzle in view of Beadle and Cierniak (U.S. Patent No. 7,103,723).

Applicants respectfully traverse for at least the following reasons.

Independent claims 1, 35, and 44 have been amended to include the proposed claim language to place the application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1, 35, and 44 define over the cited references and respectfully request that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 be withdrawn.

The pending claims that respectively depend on independent claims 1, 35, and 44 are allowable at least due to their dependence on an allowable claim, and further in view of the various features recited therein and addressed in prior responses.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should it be deemed necessary to facilitate prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date: February 3, 2010

By: Christopher M. Swickhamer
Christopher M. Swickhamer
Registration No. 59,853
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive,
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-463-5000
Facsimile: 312-463-5001